

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,777	YOUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. SAYALA	1761	

All Participants:

(1) C. SAYALA.

(2) Mr Barrett.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

as applied

Claims discussed:

25-28

Prior art documents discussed:

as applied

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised that based on his arguments on page 7 of his response, that the claims were particular to a cat with unobvious results established in the specification, the "for elderly cats" in composition claims amount to nothing more than terms of usage and they do not define over the prior art used in the rejections. examiner was authorized to cancel the claims 25-28..